Title

Temperate forests dominated by arbuscular or ectomycorrhizal fungi are characterized by strong shifts from saprotrophic to mycorrhizal fungi with increasing soil depth

Authors

Alexis Carteron[1*], Marie Beigas[1], Simon Joly[1,2], Benjamin L. Turner[3], Etienne Laliberté[1]

[1] Institut de recherche en biologie végétale, Département de sciences biologiques, Université de Montréal, 4101 Sherbrooke Est, Montréal, H1X 2B2, Canada

[2] Montreal Botanical Garden, 4101 Sherbrooke Est, Montréal, H1X 2B2, Canada

[3] Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute, Apartado 0843-03092, Balboa, Ancon, Republic of Panama

*Corresponding author, E-mail: alexis.carteron@umontreal.ca

This is a post-peer-review, pre-copyedit version of an article published in *Micorbial Ecology*. The final authenticated version will be available online at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00248-020-01540-7

Abstract

In temperate and boreal forests, competition for soil resources between free-living saprotrophs and ectomycorrhizal (EcM) fungi has been suggested to restrict saprotrophic fungal dominance to the most superficial organic soil horizons in forests dominated by EcM trees. By contrast, lower niche overlap with arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi could allow fungal saprotrophs to maintain this dominance into deeper soil horizons in AM-dominated forests.

Here we used a natural gradient of adjacent forest patches that were dominated by either AM or EcM trees, or a mixture of both to determine how fungal communities characterized with high-throughput amplicon sequencing change across organic and mineral soil horizons.

We found a general shift from saprotrophic to mycorrhizal fungal dominance with increasing soil depth in all forest mycorrhizal types, especially in organic horizons. Vertical changes in soil chemistry, including pH, organic matter, exchangeable cations, and extractable phosphorus, coincided with shifts in fungal community composition.

Although fungal communities and soil chemistry differed among adjacent forest mycorrhizal types, variations were stronger within a given soil profile, pointing to the importance of considering horizons when characterizing soil fungal communities. Our results also suggest that in temperate forests, vertical shifts from saprotrophic to mycorrhizal fungi within organic and mineral horizons occur similarly in both ectomycorrhizal and arbuscular mycorrhizal forests.

Keywords: Fungal guilds; Soil physico-chemistry; Podzolic soil; Vertical segregation; *Acer saccharum*; *Fagus grandifolia*.

1. Introduction

Soil fungi drive the biogeochemical cycling of carbon (C) and nutrients in terrestrial ecosystems. Free-living saprotrophic fungi are major decomposers of soil organic matter, but mycorrhizal fungi also play an important role [1–3]. In northern temperate forests, there are two major types of root-associated fungi: arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) and ectomycorrhizal (EcM) fungi [4, 5]. Mycorrhizal fungi acquire C via plant hosts and many EcM fungi possess the enzymatic capacity to directly degrade organic matter, potentially competing with free-living saprotrophs for organic nutrients such as nitrogen (N), which promote soil C accumulation [6–8]. By contrast, AM fungi have limited degrading abilities and therefore might compete less strongly with saprotrophic fungi for nutrients [9–11]. Such interactions among saprotrophic and mycorrhizal fungi could have far-reaching implications for the C cycle, especially in northern forests where a large fraction of global soil C is stored [3, 12, 13]. In particular, it has been suggested that these interactions might help to explain differences in the amount and vertical distributions of soil C between ectomycorrhizal- and arbuscular mycorrhizal-dominated forests [7, 14, 15].

A first step towards understanding of interactions among saprotrophic and mycorrhizal fungi and their functional consequences is to identify their co-occurrence patterns in soils [e.g. 16]. Different groups of fungi can compete with each other for soil resources because of overlapping niches [7, 16–18]. In particular, fungal types and taxa differ in their vertical distribution, especially in well-stratified soil [19–21]. In EcM-dominated ecosystems such as boreal forests, strong vertical segregation of fungal guilds occurs in the soil profile, where the litter layer is dominated by saprotrophic fungi and in older and deeper layers are increasingly dominated by EcM fungi [21–23]. However, it remains unclear whether this spatial separation reflects niche differentiation or competitive exclusion of saprotrophic fungi by EcM fungi [7, 17]. Competitive interactions for nutrients among these fungal groups could promote organic matter accumulation [24–26]. In AM-dominated forests, interactions and distribution patterns may be different because AM fungi might not compete as strongly with saprotrophic fungi than EcM fungi. However, studies of fungal vertical distribution in AM-dominated ecosystems have largely focused on grasslands and crop systems [27–29] but not forests. To better understand the impacts of global and land use changes on forest functioning, there is a crucial need to take different

mycorrhizal types fungi into consideration simultaneously [6, 7, 30], especially the AM strategy given its importance in temperate forests [10].

A general hypothesis on vertical segregation among mycorrhizal types suggests that, when they co-occur, EcM fungi and ericoid mycorrhizal (ErM) will dominate organic horizons while AM fungi will predominantly occupy mineral horizons or soils [31, 32]. This view is supported by studies based on: i) root colonization patterns in environments where mycorrhizal types co-occur [e.g. 33], ii) root patterns and isotopic measurements of plants of different mycorrhizal types [e.g. 32, 34], iii) root colonization patterns in "dual mycorrhizal" plants [35–37], iv) the different nutritional benefits of fungal symbionts and their enzymatic capacity [31, 32] and v) global patterns of mycorrhizal distribution [31, 38]. However, to our knowledge this hypothesis about vertical distribution of distinct mycorrhizal types (e.g. EcM and AM) across horizons has not been supported by detailed fungal community analyses. For example, mycorrhizal fungal distribution does not always follow root distribution (e.g. presence of AM fungi in the litter horizon [39]), and to focus on roots or rhizosphere sampling overlooks at long extraradical hyphae of mycorrhizal fungi that penetrate far from root surfaces. Few studies have studied vertical distribution at spatial scales that are fine (i.e. cm) and functional (i.e. by horizons). To our knowledge, the vertical distribution of soil fungi in neighboring forest stands dominated by different mycorrhizal types has not been reported. Therefore, it is not clear whether EcM or AM fungi show similar vertical niches [32].

The difficulties associated with identifying the microorganisms directly involved in soil biogeochemical cycling such as fungal saprotrophs and mycorrhizal fungi though their extraradical hyphae has been a major obstacle to understand their impacts and the importance of their interactions. Specific biomarkers can be used as proxy to quantify fungal biomass in soils such as phospholipid fatty acid [e.g. 40], but they are common in many fungal groups and cannot discriminate between free-living saprotrophic fungi and EcM fungal lineages because EcM symbiosis has arisen independently and persisted numerous times in the Basidiomycetes, Ascomycetes, and Zygomycetes [41]. Also, the mycelia of some fungi does not contain ergosterol [42]. With advances in high-throughput amplicon sequencing [43], we are able to identify community members and their corresponding guilds [44–46]. Determining the taxonomic composition of fungal communities is important because different species

within the same fungal guild can vary in their effects on C and nutrients cycling [e.g. 47, 48]. Using such sequencing methods, fungal community composition has been found to vary markedly across large spatial scales, driven by broad-scale changes in climate and soil properties [49, 50]. However, the mechanisms shaping distribution of fungal community and fungal groups such as free-living and root-associated at small spatial scales remain comparatively little studied, and high-throughput amplicon sequencing will allow to understand their potential impact on ecosystem functioning [19, 51, 52].

To determine the vertical distribution of fungal communities and guilds among temperate forests, we characterized soil fungi and chemistry in adjacent forest patches dominated by trees that form AM or EcM or a mixture of both strategies. Specifically, we used the natural co-occurring distribution of *Acer saccharum* and *Fagus grandifolia* that associates exclusively with AM and EcM fungal symbionts respectively [53]. These two co-occurring tree species share similar ecological strategies that they are both deciduous, shade-tolerant and can dominate the canopy in adjacent forest patches in northeastern North America [54, 55]. Their natural co-occurrence patterns provide an opportunity to compare vertical distribution of fungal community composition in different forest mycorrhizal types, under similar environmental conditions, thus minimizing variation in other important factors such as climate, parent material or topography. Using this natural experimental design, we assessed how the fungal community, guilds and root colonization vary across soil horizons along an AM-EcM gradient, and determined to which extent this variability was linked with changes in soil chemical properties. We expected the shift from saprotrophic to mycorrhizal fungi to occur deeper in AM forests compared to EcM forests, and at an intermediate depth in forests containing a mixture of both strategies.

2. Materiel and Methods

2.1 Study area

The study was conducted at the University of Montréal's field station (Station de biologie des Laurentides, Saint-Hippolyte, Québec, Canada). The field station is representative of temperate forests of the Lower Laurentians and the Canadian Shield. The soil has a sandy loam texture derived from well-drained rocky glacial till on a bedrock of Precambrian anorthosite [56, 57]. The soils are ferro-humic and gleyed humo-ferric podzols with moder humus forming the forest floor [57–59]. The mean annual temperature is 4.3°C and total annual precipitation is 1195 mm, with ~25% falling as snow

(based on 1981–2010 data, meteorological station #7037310, Saint-Hippolyte). The study area is located within the sugar maple-yellow birch domain [60]. Most of the forest regrew following a major fire that occurred around 1923 [61]. Mesic sites are composed mostly of a mosaic of *Acer saccharum* and *Fagus grandifolia,* with *Betula alleghaniensis*, *Populus grandidendata* and *Acer rubrum* also common [57]. The understory comprised various small tree species (e.g. *Acer pensylvanicum*) and shrubs (e.g *Vaccinium* spp., *Viburnum* spp.).

2.2 Selection of forest plots

Plots were selected based on the dominance of different mycorrhizal tree types: AM-dominated stands (>80% relative basal area by AM trees; mainly *Acer saccharum*) and EcM-dominated stands (generally >80% relative basal area by EcM trees except one plot at 63%; mainly *Fagus grandifolia*), and mixed stands (approximately equal basal area of AM and EcM trees, mainly *A. saccharum maple* and *F. grandifolia*). Tree basal area was based on all trees ≥5 cm diameter at breast height (DBH) within a plot. Plots were 20 m × 20 m in size. We selected five blocks, each containing one plot of each corresponding to one of the three mycorrhizal types (i.e. EcM, AM, mixed), for a total of 15 plots (Fig. S1). Plots were selected as to minimize variation in environmental conditions (i.e. altitude, slope, aspect, total basal area; Table S1) among plots within a block, and to be as close as possible from each other (<400 m). For each plot, precise geographic coordinates, altitude, topographic location, slope and orientation were measured (Table S1).

2.3 Soil sampling

Soil sampling was conducted in July and August 2015. In each plot, 10 samples were taken along two oriented north-south transects (five samples per transect). Samples were collected to 20 cm depth using PVC cores (7.5 cm in diameter). Samples were kept in coolers with ice and transported to the laboratory to be processed within 96 hours of sampling. The PVC cores were split open to measure horizon thickness then separated by: litter (L), where original structures are easily distinguishable, fragmented (F), where there had been partial decomposition where structures were difficult to recognize, and humus (H), comprised of highly decomposed organic matter, where original structures are indistinguishable (see Fig. S2). The mineral horizons were Ae, as characterized by leaching/eluviation of clay, Fe, Al or organic matter; and B, as characterized by illuviation/enrichment

in organic matter [62]. The 10 samples per plot were pooled by horizon. One sub-sample per horizon per plot was immediately frozen for subsequent DNA extraction. Fine roots (<2 mm in diameter) were set aside for mycorrhizal colonization analyses and a sub-sample of soil was air-dried for chemical analyses.

2.4 Soil analysis

Air-dried soils were analyzed for pH, total carbon (C), total nitrogen (N), total phosphorus (P), organic P, inorganic P and labile P. The pH was determined in 10 mM $CaCl_2$ in a 1:2 soil to solution ratio with a glass electrode. Total C and N were determined simultaneously by automated combustion and gas chromatography with thermal conductivity detection on a Flash EA112 analyzer (CE Elantech, New Jersey, USA). After NaOH-EDTA extraction, inorganic P in the extraction material was determined by molybdate colorimetry at 880 nm with a 1-cm path length. Total P in the NaOH-EDTA extracts was determined by molybdate colorimetry at 880 nm with a 1-cm path length, following acid-persulfate digestion at 80 °C overnight in sealed glass tubes. Organic P was calculated as the difference between NaOH-EDTA total P and NaOH-EDTA P_i. Labile (plant-available) P was determined by Bray-1 extraction, with phosphate detected using automated molybdate colorimetry on a Lachat Quikchem 8500 (Hach Ltd, Loveland, CO). Exchangeable cations were determined by extraction in 0.1 M $BaCl_2$ (2 hours, 1:30 soil to solution ratio) and detection by inductively-coupled plasma optical-emission spectrometry (ICP–OES) with an Optima 7300 DV (Perkin-Elmer Ltd, Shelton, CT, USA). Total exchangeable bases (TEB) was calculated as the sum of the charge equivalents of Ca, K, Mg and Na. Effective cation exchange capacity (ECEC) was calculated as the sum of the charge equivalents of Al, Ca, Fe, K, Mg, Mn and Na. Base saturation was determined as TEB / ECEC ×100.

2.4 Root colonization by fungi

Fungal colonization was determined on fine roots (<2 mm diameter) of F, H, Ae and B horizons (no roots in the L). Roots were cleared in 10% w/v KOH, then stained in an ink and vinegar solution for 5 min at 90 °C [63–65]. Roots were then rinsed in slightly acidified tap water for 30–40 min to remove excess ink, after which they were placed in a 50% (v/v) lacto-glycerol solution for storage until colonization could be evaluated. The gridline intersection method was performed under stereomicroscope to quantify the length of roots colonized by AM and EcM fungi [63, 66]. Due to

186 magnification limits, some structures of ericoid mycorrhizal fungi might have been included in the AM
187 colonization percentage.
188
189 *2.5 Fungal community characterization*
190 The fungal community was characterized by amplicon sequencing. Soil DNA was extracted using the
191 PowerSoil DNA Isolation Kit (#12888-100 - Mo-Bio Laboratories Inc., Carlsbad, USA) following the
192 instructions of the manufacturer. Around 100 mg of soil for organic horizons (L, F and H), and 200 mg
193 for mineral horizons (Ae and B) were used for the extraction.
194 Soil amplification of the Internal Transcribed Spacer of the ribosomal RNA was performed by Genome
195 Québec (Montréal, Canada) with the ITS3_KYO2 and ITS4 primer pair [67]. This pair of primer limits
196 coverage bias toward Ascomycetes or Basidiomycetes and is also known to amplify Glomeromycetes
197 [e.g. 68]. The final reaction mix contained 0.02 U μl^{-1} Taq Roche HiFi polymerase, 1X Buffer 10X
198 with 18 mM MgCl2, 5% DMSO, 0.2mM of each dNTP and 0.5 µM of each primer and DNA sample
199 diluted at 1/100. Thermal cycling was done in an Eppendorf Mastercycler Gradient (Eppendorf,
200 Hamburg, Germany) with the following cycling conditions: 2 min initial denaturation at 94 °C; 40
201 cycles of 30 s denaturation at 94 °C, 30 s annealing at 55 °C and 30 s elongation at 72 °C; and a 7 min
202 final elongation at 72 °C. The PCR products were loaded on 1% agarose gels with 1× sodium borate
203 buffer run at 220 V, and visualized after ethidium bromide staining (1 µg ml^{-1}).
204 Soil amplicon sequencing was performed by using the MiSeq Illumina technology by Genome Québec
205 (Montréal, Canada). The final concentration of the reaction mix contained 0.025 U μl^{-1} Taq Roche
206 HiFi polymerase, 1X Buffer 10X, 1.8mM of $MgCl_2$, 5% DMSO. Sequencing was done in an MiSeq
207 Illumina with the following conditions: 10 min initial denaturation at 95 °C; 15 cycles of 15 s
208 denaturation at 95 °C, 30 s annealing at 60 °C and 1 min elongation at 72 °C; and a 3 min final
209 elongation.
210
211 *2.6 Bioinformatics*
212 The fungal community was determined by filtering, denoising and assigning taxonomy to paired
213 amplicons using a customized script
214 (https://github.com/alexiscarter/Fungal_com_SBL/tree/master/dada2) adapted from the DADA2
215 pipeline [69]. In brief, using the *filterAndTrim* function, reads were truncated at 280 bp and discarded if

they had more than three expected errors or a quality score lower than six. Then, amplicon sequence variants (ASV) were inferred for each sample with the *dada* function. Forward and reverse reads were merged using the *mergePairs* function with a minimum overlap of 12 bp. Potentially chimeric sequences were identified by the pooled method of the *removeBimeras* function. The amplicon sequence variant approach was used instead of the classical operational taxonomic as proposed by Callahan *et al.* [70] and others [71]. This method does not use a particular threshold for classifying sequences into operational taxonomic units, as no threshold appears to be universally applicable for fungi [72]. Instead, it used the divisive amplicon denoising algorithm aimed at finding ASV that refer back to original biological sequences [69, 73]. The taxonomy of the ASV was assigned with the UNITE database, version 7.2 [74]. ASV that belong to the same species were grouped together. The functional information for ASV was obtained from the online FUNGuild database [44].

2.7 Statistical analyses

To describe the fungal community and assess the effects of environmental parameters we used ordination approaches and multivariate analyses of variance. The community matrix was composed of the number of sequences per ASV of 75 soil samples from five soil horizons in each of 15 plots (one sample of L horizon in an EcM plot was excluded due to poor amplification). Due to some inherent limitations of the approach, either biological (e.g., varying number of DNA copies per organism) or technical (varying sequencing depth, extraction and amplification biases among samples), the number of sequence reads is not a direct measure of taxa abundance in the environment, but comparisons among samples remain useful as they can be considered semi-quantitative [75, 76]. Explanatory variables for each sample were classified into three groups: (i) soil chemistry, (ii) soil horizon (L, F, H, Ae or B), and (iii) forest type (AM, EcM or mixed).

Differences in soil properties, root colonization, guild abundance and richness among horizons and forest type were tested using linear mixed-effect models; block was treated as random factor in these analyses. Model assumptions were assessed by visual inspections of residuals. Comparison were determined using post-hoc Tukey tests were used to determine significant differences.

In ß-diversity analyses, we used the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity index for the community structure and its binary version, the Sørensen index for the community composition [77]. These asymmetrical

coefficients do not consider double zeroes and can therefore be used with raw abundances or counts [77].

To visualize differences in fungal community composition and abundance among samples, we used non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS). To test for differences between samples across horizons and forest types, we used permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA). *P*-values for pairwise tests were adjusted using the Benjamini-Hochberg method [78]. Because the PERMANOVA method is sensitive to differences in multivariate dispersions among groups, the homogeneity of dispersion was tested to assess differences and tested for significance by permutations [79].

Distance-based redundancy analysis (RDA) was used to quantify the extent to which changes in fungal community structure were related to soil chemistry, horizon and forest type [77]. Soil chemistry data were standardized and linear dependencies were explored using variance inflation factors and avoided if >10 [80]. To test how much variance was independently explained by the explanatory matrices, variation partitioning was performed using partial RDA [pRDA, 81]. In RDA and pRDA, coefficients of determination were adjusted (i.e. adjusted-R^2 values) to take into account the number of explanatory variables in the model [82, 83].

Analyses were performed and visualized using the R software [84] with the following main packages: *dada2* [69], *dplyr* [85], *emmeans* [86], *ggplot2* [87], *ggpubr [88], nlme* [89], *phyloseq* [90] and *vegan* [91]. Code for bioinformatical and statistical analyses are available at: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3631982. Sequence and chemistry data can be accessed at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3631861.

3. Results

3.1 Soil chemistry variation across horizons and forest types

All soil chemical properties varied significantly across horizons (Fig. 1), and these differences were consistent across forest types (soil horizon × forest type interaction, $P > 0.05$; except for pH where $P = 0.026$). The pH of the L horizon declined from pH ~4 (in 0.01 M $CaCl_2$) to ~3.25 in the H horizon, but this decline was not as pronounced for AM forests than for EcM or mixed forests (Fig. 1a). The pH then increased from the H to the B horizon in all forests. Effective cation exchange capacity and base saturation declined with increasing depth (Figs. 1b-c), except for ECEC in the Ae horizon. Organic C

generally declined with depth, but AM forests tended to have lower organic C concentration in the H horizons than EcM or mixed forests (Fig. 1d). By contrast, total N increased from the L to the Ae horizon and then declined in the B horizon (Fig. 1e). As a result, the C:N ratio decreased with increasing depth from the L to the Ae horizon (Fig. 1f). Inorganic and organic P increased in deeper horizons while labile (Bray) P decreased (Figs. 1g-i).

Forest types differed significantly in their pH, C:N ratio, NaOH-EDTA total P, NaOH-EDTA organic and inorganic P concentrations ($P < 0.05$). AM-dominated forest plots tended to have higher pH, total P, inorganic P and organic P but lower C:N ratio compared to EcM-dominated forest plots.

3.2 Root colonization by mycorrhizal fungi

Colonization of fine roots by AM and EcM fungi was significantly different among mycorrhizal type ($P < 0.0001$, Fig. 2) but only differ across horizons in the EcM-dominated forest ($P = 0.007$). Fine roots in AM forest were more strongly colonized by AM fungi than those from mixed and EcM forests ($P < 0.05$, Fig. 2a). By contrast, fine roots in EcM forests were more strongly colonized by EcM fungi compared to those from AM forests ($P < 0.05$, Fig. 2b). Root colonization by EcM fungi tended to decrease with soil depth in EcM forest down to ~20% in the B horizon (Fig. 2b). In mixed and AM forests, EcM colonization was highest in the H or Ae horizons but always lower than 30%.

3.3 Overall fungal community

We found 781 fungal taxa (at the species level or below) from a total of 2521 ASV detected using high-throughput amplicon sequencing across all horizons and plots. Fungal ASV richness tended to decrease with soil depth regardless of the forest type (Fig. S3). The highest fungal ASV richness was found in L horizons of the AM forests.

3.4 Fungal guilds

Saprotrophic and symbiotrophic (EcM, AM and ErM) guilds showed distinct vertical distributions among horizons and across forest types (Fig. 2c-f). Saprotrophic fungal taxa dominated the upper horizons (especially L and F; Fig. 2c), and mycorrhizal fungi were almost absent in the L horizon (Figs. 2d-f). Fungal taxa assigned to the saprotrophic guild were slightly more abundant in the organic horizons of the AM and mixed forests compared to EcM forest (Fig. 2c). Abundance of saprotrophic

fungi were significantly different among forest types ($P < 0.031$) but differences were not significant across horizons of different forest types (soil horizon × forest type, $P = 0.325$). In deeper horizons, sequences attributed to mycorrhizal fungi were more abundant (Figs. 2d-f). Sequences of AM (i.e. Glomeromycetes) fungi were much more abundant in the AM forest (Fig. 2d), and the opposite was true for EcM fungi (Fig. 2f). Both AM and EcM taxa were well represented in the mixed forests (Figs. 2d-e). Sequences of ericoid mycorrhizal (ErM) fungi were less abundant in AM forest except for the F horizon where their abundance was high in all forests (Fig. 2f). Richness patterns of fungal guilds tended to follow abundance data (Fig. S4). Saprotrophic fungi had the higher number of taxa followed by EcM, ErM and AM fungi. Saprotrophic fungal richness was highest in the upper horizons and decreased with depth. There was a higher richness of EcM fungi in EcM and mixed forests and very few EcM taxa in the L horizon.

3.5 Fungal community structure

Soil horizons had the strongest influence over fungal community structure (includes abundance data) in the three forest types, as shown by the NMDS ordination (Fig. 3). The composition (based on presence-absence data) of the fungal community showed similar patterns (Fig. S5), suggesting that results primarily reflected changes in ASV composition rather than relative abundance. Differences in multivariate dispersions with Bray-Curtis and Sørensen measures were not significant among forest types ($P > 0.05$) but were significant among horizons ($P < 0.05$), with the L horizon showing the lowest multivariate dispersions. In other words, fungal communities from the L horizons were more similar to each other than fungal communities from the other horizons. Fungal community composition and abundance significantly differed among all horizons but also among forest types ($P < 0.001$, Table S2). However, the differences among horizons did not depend on forest type and vice-versa (soil horizon × forest type interaction not significant; Table S2). Pairwise comparisons revealed that fungal community composition and abundance in AM and EcM forests significantly differed from each other, but not from mixed forests (Fig. 3).

3.6 Edaphic drivers of fungal community structure

Variation in soil chemistry explained a large fraction of the total variation in fungal community structure (adjusted-$R^2 = 23.3\%$, $P = 0.001$, see Table S3 for results of the constrained ordinations). In

335 the L horizons, fungal communities were associated with higher pH, ECEC, labile L and C:N ratio
336 (Fig. 4). Fungal communities in mineral horizons (Ae and B) were associated with high organic and
337 inorganic P but low labile P (Fig. 4). Between L and mineral horizons, fungal communities were
338 associated with low pH (H horizon) and high labile P (F horizon).
339 Forest mycorrhizal type explained a lower but still significant amount of variation (adjusted-R^2 = 2.7%,
340 P = 0.006). There was a clear difference in the fungal community structure of AM and EcM forests,
341 whereas the mixed forests were intermediate or more similar to EcM forest (Fig. 5).
342 Abiotic and biotic variables together explained ~35% (P = 0.001) of the total variation in the fungal
343 community structure. Variation in fungal community structure depended on horizons and forest
344 mycorrhizal types, and was also influenced by soil chemistry (Fig. 6). Within forest types, fungal
345 communities were not significantly different among blocks. Horizon, forest type and soil chemistry
346 still explained a significant fraction of the variation in the fungal community structure when
347 considering the effects of the other variables (Table S3). Most of the explained variation was shared
348 between soil chemistry and horizon (Fig. 6). However, forest type still had a unique and significant
349 impact on the variation of the fungal community. A small fraction of variation was shared between soil
350 chemistry and forest type (Fig. 6).
351
352 **4. Discussion**
353 In this study, we determined vertical shifts in soil fungal community composition across soil horizons
354 and forest mycorrhizal types (AM, EcM, and mixed AM/EcM) and compared how saprotrophic fungal
355 dominance extends to deeper horizons in AM vs. EcM forests. Although there was a tendency for
356 lower abundance of saprotrophic fungi in organic F and H horizons in EcM forests than in AM or
357 mixed forests, all three forest types showed a similar saprotrophic-to-mycorrhizal shift in fungal
358 composition with increasing soil depth. This shift in fungal dominance was most pronounced in
359 organic horizons. Moreover, we found that changes in fungal community composition were largely
360 driven by differences in soil chemistry, which were far stronger across horizons (i.e. depth) within a
361 single forest than across forest mycorrhizal types for the same horizon. Our results highlight the
362 importance of considering soil vertical structure and associated changes in chemistry when
363 characterizing soil fungal communities. They also suggest that, at least in northern forests, AM fungi

364 are not being restricted where inorganic nutrients predominate and might have more similar edaphic
365 vertical niches with EcM fungi than what has been suggested in the literature [31, 32, 35].
366
367 Fungal communities were strongly stratified with depth along the soil profile, being most distinct in the
368 L horizon (composed of recently-fallen leaves). Litter of the EcM, AM and mixed forests had high
369 fungal richness and distinct fungal communities that were dominated by saprotrophic fungi. This has
370 also been observed in forests of tropical, temperate and boreal biomes dominated by EcM trees [19, 21,
371 22, 92, 93]. Dominance by saprotrophic fungi in the most superficial litter layer has also been observed
372 in other AM-dominated ecosystems [29, 94], as we have found in this northern temperate forest. Our
373 results therefore provide further evidence of this general pattern whereby the L horizon possesses a
374 distinct fungal community dominated by fungal saprotrophs, compared to deeper horizons in which
375 mycorrhizal fungi are more abundant.
376
377 As suggested by Bahram et al. [51], studies that have reported weak vertical segregation of fungal
378 communities have often excluded the most superficial L horizon from their analyses [16, e.g. 49]. The
379 L horizon of the EcM, AM and mixed forests tended to have higher C:N ratio, pH, concentration of
380 cations and labile P than deeper horizons. While this pattern seems generalizable for pH [e.g. 21, 93], it
381 remains uncertain or unexplored for the other chemical variables. Our results suggest that the L horizon
382 which is characterized by the presence of organic matter in which the original structures can be visually
383 distinguished [62] should be considered separately in future studies of fungal community composition,
384 given its chemical, microbial and functional distinctiveness.
385
386 From the F to the B horizon, fungal communities showed strong turnover across soil horizons, with
387 distinct fungal communities in each horizon. The fungal composition, abundance and guilds tended to
388 progressively change among horizons in the soil profile but these changes were less pronounced than
389 with the L. This was also observed in other study systems [21, 93, 95]. There are reports of evenly
390 distributed guilds among the organic and mineral horizons [e.g. 16], but vertical segregation of fungi
391 and especially root-associated fungi is often strongly impacted by determinant factors such as soil
392 chemistry and host plants [19, 20, 51]. In our study, there was major variation in the vertical
393 distribution of soil fungi that was largely driven by soil chemical characteristics, with these changes

being observed in all three forest mycorrhizal types. Our results further support those of other studies that have found the vertical variability of mycorrhizal and saprotrophic fungal communities across different soil horizons to be much larger than horizontal or temporal variability [51, 96]. Studies that focus on ecosystem topsoil processes in terrestrial environments should consider the strong physical, chemical and biological heterogeneity that occurs within the first few centimeters, by sampling distinct soil horizons separately.

We showed that underground fungal community structure varied significantly between neighboring forest dominated by AM or EcM trees. As expected, AM forests showed higher abundance of AM fungi, whereas EcM forests showed higher abundance of EcM fungi. Direct observation of fungal colonization in roots confirmed these patterns. Forests with a mix of both strategies supported intermediate communities between the two extremes of the gradient, as reported in a study focusing on ecosystem processes [e.g. 97]. It is worth noting that fungal saprotrophs tended to be more abundant in organic horizons of mixed and AM forests compared to EcM forests. Together with higher pH and lower organic C in these AM forests, this result might indicate a tendency toward a more "inorganic nutrient economy" compared to the studied forests dominated by EcM fungi. The latter would represent a more "organic nutrient economy", associated with a slower turnover of plant-derived C due to lower abundance of free-living saprotrophs [10]. These small differences observed at local scale may be responsible for observed patterns found at the ecosystem scale [14]. It has been found elsewhere that forests dominated by different species of broadleaf trees of the same mycorrhizal strategy can also show differences in fungal community structure [98]. However, in our study, fungal composition, abundance and guilds tended to differ between EcM and AM forests. Such a distinction has previously been reported in a study comparing very distinctive EcM forests of broadleaf trees vs. conifers [99], the effect of mycorrhizal type was relatively small but nonetheless present, and could also be linked to differences in nutrient availability.

Our study design provides a useful system for exploring the relative importance of mycorrhizal type on soil biogeochemical cycling. The soil profile in these northern temperate forests have low vertical mixing, resulting in podzols with high stratification, as commonly encountered in boreal soils. Soil horizons were easily identifiable mainly through their color and such sampling may allow for better

424 association between DNA sequences and soil chemistry as well as more valuable comparison across
425 sites [100]. Variation in important factors such as parent material, topography and regional climate
426 were minimized but other factors (e.g. productivity, soil texture) could still co-vary with mycorrhizal
427 dominance at the plot scale. Importantly, this study system allowed us to study different mycorrhizal
428 types within the same site [7, 30, 51] and across a gradient of mycorrhizal dominance [15]. The
429 observed differences in soil chemistry among forests could be linked with dominant mycorrhizal
430 strategies. Higher saprotrophic fungal diversity has been observed in the upper soil layers of AM-
431 dominated tropical forests compared to EcM forests [101]. Our study provides further evidence, in a
432 temperate system, host plants are an important factor controlling mycorrhizal community composition
433 [51, 102]. To some extent, this was expected given that AM and EcM fungi are obligate symbionts
434 with their host plants [32]. As such, considering tree mycorrhizal strategies and their interactions with
435 saprotrophs may help to better predict carbon storage at small and global scale [8].
436
437 Our use of high-throughput amplicon sequencing approach allowed us to assess the distribution of the
438 soil fungal community and to discriminate among AM, EcM and saprotrophic fungi. However, result
439 from high-throughput sequencing approaches need to be interpreted with caution because of
440 unavoidable biases at different levels [43, 103]. For example, how to adequately normalize for taxa
441 abundance among samples remains unresolved [104, 105]. Furthermore, although we acknowledge that
442 soil and root compartments might host different fungal communities [e.g. 106], but sampling bulk soil
443 allows to capture the potential free-living saprotrophs as well as root-associated fungi and their
444 extraradical hyphae. Finally, our choice of the primers might have resulted in an under-representation
445 of some fungal groups such as Glomeromycetes, but comparisons in taxa abundance between samples
446 remain relevant [76].Using specific primers targeting Glomeromycetes [107, 108], and plants using
447 DNA from the root tissue [68, 109] would certainly allow to further understand the importance of these
448 underground interactions and the vertical segregation among root and fungi of different mycorrhizal
449 types.
450
451 Our results show that fungal communities in horizons vertically separated by a few centimeters are
452 very different from each other in terms of composition and abundance. This contributes to high fungal
453 and functional diversity in the topsoil. Moreover, our work suggests that the forest mycorrhizal type

454 influences the overall and saprotrophic fungal community, advancing our current understanding of the
455 potential impacts of mycorrhizal strategies on the distribution of key organisms for ecosystem
456 functioning such as C and nutrient cycling [10]. We also reported for the first time that broad patterns
457 of vertical fungal distribution across the upper five horizons in AM-dominated northern forest are
458 comparable to neighboring EcM-dominated or mixed forests. This result challenges the traditional
459 view that AM fungi have a more restricted niche toward mineral soils compared to EcM fungi due to
460 their incapability to directly decompose organic matter [31]. Our study suggests that the ecological and
461 functional roles of AM fungi in organic horizons of temperate forests, including recently deposited
462 litter, deserves more attention [39].
463
464 **Acknowledgements**
465 We are grateful to two anonymous reviewers for suggestions that improved the manuscript. We would
466 like to thank Dayana Agudo, William Barrette, Aleksandra Bielnicka, Sarah Dupont, Paola Escobar,
467 Caroline Fink-Mercier, Audren Jiquel and David Poissant for field and laboratory assistance. We also
468 thank staff from the Station de biologie des Laurentides (SBL) of Université de Montréal for
469 facilitating the field work. Funding, including scholarships to AC, was provided by Discovery Grants
470 to EL (RGPIN-2014-06106, RGPIN-2019-04537) by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research
471 Council of Canada (NSERC) as well as a "Nouveau Chercheur" grant (2016-NC-188823) by the Fonds
472 de recherche du Québec sur la Nature et technologies (FRQNT). AC would like to sincerely thank the
473 Institut de recherche en biologie végétale, Centre d'étude de la forêt, Centre de la science de la
474 biodiversité du Québec, Université de Montréal and the FRQNT for providing generous financial
475 support.
476
477 Conflicts of interest/Competing interests: The authors declare no conflicts of interests
478
479 **Availability of data and material**
480 Sequence and chemistry data can be accessed at: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3631861.
481 Custom code for bioinformatical and statistical analyses are available at:
482 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3631982.
483

Authors' contributions

EL and AC conceived the ideas and designed methodology; AC, BT, SJ and MB collected the data; AC analyzed the data; AC and EL interpreted the results; AC led the writing of the manuscript. All authors contributed critically to the drafts and gave final approval for publication.

REFERENCES

1. Frey SD (2019) Mycorrhizal Fungi as Mediators of Soil Organic Matter Dynamics. Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst 50:237–259. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-110617-062331

2. Kubartová A, Ranger J, Berthelin J, Beguiristain T (2008) Diversity and Decomposing Ability of Saprophytic Fungi from Temperate Forest Litter. Microb Ecol 58:98–107. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-008-9458-8

3. Crowther TW, Hoogen J van den, Wan J, et al (2019) The global soil community and its influence on biogeochemistry. Science 365:eaav0550. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aav0550

4. Brundrett MC (2017) Global diversity and importance of mycorrhizal and nonmycorrhizal plants. In: Biogeography of Mycorrhizal Symbiosis. Springer, Cham, pp 533–556

5. Steidinger BS, Crowther TW, Liang J, et al (2019) Climatic controls of decomposition drive the global biogeography of forest-tree symbioses. Nature 569:404. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1128-0

6. Dickie IA, Koele N, Blum JD, et al (2014) Mycorrhizas in changing ecosystems. Botany 92:149–160. https://doi.org/10.1139/cjb-2013-0091

7. Fernandez CW, Kennedy PG (2016) Revisiting the 'Gadgil effect': do interguild fungal interactions control carbon cycling in forest soils? New Phytol 209:1382–1394. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13648

8. Verbruggen E, Pena R, Fernandez CW, Soong JL (2017) Chapter 24 - Mycorrhizal interactions with saprotrophs and impact on soil carbon storage. In: Mycorrhizal Mediation of Soil. Elsevier, pp 441–460

9. Smith SE, Smith FA (2011) Roles of arbuscular mycorrhizas in plant nutrition and growth: New paradigms from cellular to ecosystem scales. Annual Review of Plant Biology 62:227–250. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-arplant-042110-103846

10. Phillips RP, Brzostek E, Midgley MG (2013) The mycorrhizal-associated nutrient economy: a new framework for predicting carbon–nutrient couplings in temperate forests. New Phytol 199:41–51. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12221

11. Hodge A (2017) Chapter 8 - Accessibility of inorganic and organic nutrients for mycorrhizas. In: Mycorrhizal Mediation of Soil. Elsevier, pp 129–148

12. Dixon RK, Solomon AM, Brown S, et al (1994) Carbon Pools and Flux of Global Forest Ecosystems. Science 263:185–190. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.263.5144.185

13. Scharlemann JP, Tanner EV, Hiederer R, Kapos V (2014) Global soil carbon: understanding and managing the largest terrestrial carbon pool. Carbon Management 5:81–91. https://doi.org/10.4155/cmt.13.77

14. Averill C, Turner BL, Finzi AC (2014) Mycorrhiza-mediated competition between plants and decomposers drives soil carbon storage. Nature 505:543–545. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12901

15. Craig ME, Turner BL, Liang C, et al (2018) Tree mycorrhizal type predicts within-site variability in the storage and distribution of soil organic matter. Global Change Biology 24:3317–3330. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14132

16. Peršoh D, Stolle N, Brachmann A, et al (2018) Fungal guilds are evenly distributed along a vertical spruce forest soil profile while individual fungi show pronounced niche partitioning. Mycol Progress 17:925–939. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11557-018-1405-6

17. Bödeker ITM, Lindahl BD, Olson Å, Clemmensen KE (2016) Mycorrhizal and saprotrophic fungal guilds compete for the same organic substrates but affect decomposition differently. Funct Ecol 30:1967–1978. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12677

18. Mujic AB, Durall DM, Spatafora JW, Kennedy PG (2016) Competitive avoidance not edaphic specialization drives vertical niche partitioning among sister species of ectomycorrhizal fungi. New Phytologist 209:1174–1183. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13677

19. Dickie IA, Xu B, Koide RT (2002) Vertical niche differentiation of ectomycorrhizal hyphae in soil as shown by T-RFLP analysis. New Phytologist 156:527–535. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-8137.2002.00535.x

20. Rosling A, Landeweert R, Lindahl BD, et al (2003) Vertical distribution of ectomycorrhizal fungal taxa in a podzol soil profile. New Phytologist 159:775–783. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-8137.2003.00829.x

21. Lindahl BD, Ihrmark K, Boberg J, et al (2007) Spatial separation of litter decomposition and mycorrhizal nitrogen uptake in a boreal forest. New Phytologist 173:611–620. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2006.01936.x

22. McGuire KL, Allison SD, Fierer N, Treseder KK (2013) Ectomycorrhizal-dominated boreal and tropical forests have distinct fungal communities, but analogous spatial patterns across soil horizons. PLOS ONE 8:e68278. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0068278

23. Santalahti M, Sun H, Jumpponen A, et al (2016) Vertical and seasonal dynamics of fungal communities in boreal Scots pine forest soil. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 92:. https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fiw170

24. Clemmensen KE, Bahr A, Ovaskainen O, et al (2013) Roots and Associated Fungi Drive Long-Term Carbon Sequestration in Boreal Forest. Science 339:1615–1618. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1231923

25. Baskaran P, Hyvönen R, Berglund SL, et al (2017) Modelling the influence of ectomycorrhizal decomposition on plant nutrition and soil carbon sequestration in boreal forest ecosystems. New Phytologist 213:1452–1465. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14213

26. Kyaschenko J, Clemmensen KE, Karltun E, Lindahl BD (2017) Below-ground organic matter accumulation along a boreal forest fertility gradient relates to guild interaction within fungal communities. Ecol Lett 20:1546–1555. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12862

27. Higo M, Isobe K, Yamaguchi M, et al (2013) Diversity and vertical distribution of indigenous arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi under two soybean rotational systems. Biol Fertil Soils 49:1085–1096. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-013-0807-5

28. Montero Sommerfeld H, Díaz LM, Alvarez M, et al (2013) High winter diversity of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal communities in shallow and deep grassland soils. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 65:236–244. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.06.002

29. Oehl F, Sieverding E, Ineichen K, et al (2005) Community structure of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi at different soil depths in extensively and intensively managed agroecosystems. New Phytol 165:273–283. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2004.01235.x

30. Tedersoo L, Bahram M, Zobel M (2020) How mycorrhizal associations drive plant population and community biology. Science 367:. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aba1223

31. Read DJ (1991) Mycorrhizas in ecosystems. Experientia 47:376–391. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01972080

32. Smith SE, Read DJ (2008) Mycorrhizal Symbiosis. Academic Press

33. Moyersoen B, Fitter AH, Alexander IJ (1998) Spatial distribution of ectomycorrhizas and arbuscular mycorrhizas in Korup National Park rain forest, Cameroon, in relation to edaphic parameters. New Phytologist 139:311–320. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-8137.1998.00190.x

34. Schulze E-D, Chapin FS, Gebauer G (1994) Nitrogen nutrition and isotope differences among life forms at the northern treeline of Alaska. Oecologia 100:406–412. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00317862

35. Neville J, Tessier JL, Morrison I, et al (2002) Soil depth distribution of ecto- and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi associated with Populus tremuloides within a 3-year-old boreal forest clear-cut. Applied Soil Ecology 19:209–216. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0929-1393(01)00193-7

36. Reddell P, Malajczuk N (1984) Formation of Mycorrhizae by Jarrah (Eucalyptus marginata Donn ex Smith) in Litter and Soil. Aust J Bot 32:511–520. https://doi.org/10.1071/bt9840511

37. Teste FP, Jones MD, Dickie IA (2020) Dual-mycorrhizal plants: their ecology and relevance. New Phytologist 225:1835–1851. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.16190

38. Allen EB, Allen MF, Helm DJ, et al (1995) Patterns and regulation of mycorrhizal plant and fungal diversity. Plant Soil 170:47–62. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02183054

39. Bunn RA, Simpson DT, Bullington LS, et al (2019) Revisiting the 'direct mineral cycling' hypothesis: arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi colonize leaf litter, but why? The ISME Journal 13:1891. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-019-0403-2

40. Teste FP, Laliberté E, Lambers H, et al (2016) Mycorrhizal fungal biomass and scavenging declines in phosphorus-impoverished soils during ecosystem retrogression. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 92:119–132. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2015.09.021

41. Tedersoo L, May TW, Smith ME (2010) Ectomycorrhizal lifestyle in fungi: global diversity, distribution, and evolution of phylogenetic lineages. Mycorrhiza 20:217–263. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-009-0274-x

42. Weete JD, Gandhi SR (1999) Sterols and fatty acids of the Mortierellaceae: taxonomic implications. Mycologia 91:642–649. https://doi.org/10.1080/00275514.1999.12061063

43. Lindahl BD, Nilsson RH, Tedersoo L, et al (2013) Fungal community analysis by high-throughput sequencing of amplified markers – a user's guide. New Phytol 199:288–299. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12243

44. Nguyen NH, Song Z, Bates ST, et al (2016) FUNGuild: An open annotation tool for parsing fungal community datasets by ecological guild. Fungal Ecology 20:241–248. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.funeco.2015.06.006

45. Dickie IA, John MGS (2016) Second-generation molecular understanding of mycorrhizas in soil ecosystems. In: Molecular Mycorrhizal Symbiosis. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, pp 473–491

46. Nilsson RH, Anslan S, Bahram M, et al (2019) Mycobiome diversity: high-throughput sequencing and identification of fungi. Nature Reviews Microbiology 17:95.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-018-0116-y

47. Lindahl BD, Tunlid A (2015) Ectomycorrhizal fungi – potential organic matter decomposers, yet not saprotrophs. New Phytol 205:1443–1447. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13201

48. Sterkenburg E, Clemmensen KE, Ekblad A, et al (2018) Contrasting effects of ectomycorrhizal fungi on early and late stage decomposition in a boreal forest. The ISME Journal 1. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-018-0181-2

49. Talbot JM, Bruns TD, Taylor JW, et al (2014) Endemism and functional convergence across the North American soil mycobiome. PNAS 111:6341–6346. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1402584111

50. Tedersoo L, Bahram M, Põlme S, et al (2014) Global diversity and geography of soil fungi. Science 346:1256688. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1256688

51. Bahram M, Peay KG, Tedersoo L (2015) Local-scale biogeography and spatiotemporal variability in communities of mycorrhizal fungi. New Phytol 205:1454–1463. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13206

52. Zak DR, Pellitier PT, Argiroff W, et al (2019) Exploring the role of ectomycorrhizal fungi in soil carbon dynamics. New Phytologist 223:33–39. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15679

53. Brundrett M, Murase G, Kendrick B (1990) Comparative anatomy of roots and mycorrhizae of common Ontario trees. Can J Bot 68:551–578. https://doi.org/10.1139/b90-076

54. Poulson TL, Platt WJ (1996) Replacement patterns of beech and sugar maple in warren woods, Michigan. Ecology 77:1234–1253. https://doi.org/10.2307/2265592

55. Duchesne L, Ouimet R, Moore J-D, Paquin R (2005) Changes in structure and composition of maple–beech stands following sugar maple decline in Québec, Canada. Forest Ecology and Management 208:223–236. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2004.12.003

56. Bélanger N, Côté B, Fyles JW, et al (2004) Forest regrowth as the controlling factor of soil nutrient availability 75 years after fire in a deciduous forest of Southern Quebec. Plant and Soil 262:363–272. https://doi.org/10.1023/B:PLSO.0000037054.21561.85

57. Courchesne F, Côté B, Fyles JW, et al (2005) Recent changes in soil chemistry in a forested ecosystem of southern Québec, Canada. Soil Science Society of America Journal 69:1298. https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2003.0129

58. Côté B, Hendershot WH, Fyles JW, et al (1998) The phenology of fine root growth in a maple-dominated ecosystem: relationships with some soil properties. Plant and Soil 201:59–69. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1004351705516

59. Courchesne F, Hendershot WH (1988) Cycle annuel des éléments nutritifs dans un bassin-versant forestier: contribution de la litière fraîche. Can J For Res 18:930–936. https://doi.org/10.1139/x88-141

60. Saucier J-P, Robitaille A, Grondin P, et al (2011) Les régions écologiques du Québec méridional (4 version). Carte à l'échelle de 1 / 1 250 000.

61. Savage C (2001) Recolonisation forestière dans les Basses Laurentides au sud du domaine climacique de l'érablière à bouleau jaune. Université de Montréal

62. Soil Classification Working Group (1998) The Canadian System of Soil Classification, 3rd ed. NRC Research Press, Ottawa, Canada

63. Brundrett M, Bougher N, Dell B, et al (1996) Working with Mycorrhizas in Forestry and Agriculture

64. Vierheilig H, Coughlan AP, Wyss U, Piché Y (1998) Ink and vinegar, a simple staining technique for arbuscular-mycorrhizal fungi. Appl Environ Microbiol 64:5004–5007

65. Vierheilig H, Schweiger P, Brundrett M (2005) An overview of methods for the detection and observation of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in roots†. Physiologia Plantarum 125:393–404. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-3054.2005.00564.x

66. Tennant D (1975) A Test of a Modified Line Intersect Method of Estimating Root Length. Journal of Ecology 63:995–1001. https://doi.org/10.2307/2258617

67. Toju H, Tanabe AS, Yamamoto S, Sato H (2012) High-coverage ITS primers for the DNA-based identification of Ascomycetes and Basidiomycetes in environmental samples. PLOS ONE 7:e40863. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0040863

68. Toju H, Sato H, Tanabe AS (2014) Diversity and spatial structure of belowground plant–fungal symbiosis in a mixed subtropical forest of ectomycorrhizal and arbuscular mycorrhizal plants. PLOS ONE 9:e86566. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0086566

69. Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Rosen MJ, et al (2016) DADA2: High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. Nat Meth 13:581–583. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3869

70. Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Holmes SP (2017) Exact sequence variants should replace operational taxonomic units in marker-gene data analysis. ISME J. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2017.119

71. Thompson LR, Sanders JG, McDonald D, et al (2017) A communal catalogue reveals Earth's multiscale microbial diversity. Nature advance online publication: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature24621

72. Nilsson RH, Kristiansson E, Ryberg M, et al (2008) Intraspecific ITS variability in the kingdom fungi as expressed in the international sequence databases and its implications for molecular species identification. Evol Bioinform Online 4:193–201

73. Rosen MJ, Callahan BJ, Fisher DS, Holmes SP (2012) Denoising PCR-amplified metagenome data. BMC Bioinformatics 13:283. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-13-283

74. Abarenkov K, Henrik Nilsson R, Larsson K-H, et al (2010) The UNITE database for molecular identification of fungi – recent updates and future perspectives. New Phytologist 186:281–285. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2009.03160.x

75. Nguyen NH, Smith D, Peay K, Kennedy P (2015) Parsing ecological signal from noise in next generation amplicon sequencing. New Phytol 205:1389–1393. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12923

76. Pauvert C, Buée M, Laval V, et al (2019) Bioinformatics matters: The accuracy of plant and soil fungal community data is highly dependent on the metabarcoding pipeline. Fungal Ecology 41:23–33. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.funeco.2019.03.005

77. Legendre P, Legendre L (2012) Numerical Ecology. Elsevier

78. Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y (1995) Controlling the false discovery rate: A practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B (Methodological) 57:289–300

79. Anderson MJ (2006) Distance-based tests for homogeneity of multivariate dispersions. Biometrics 62:245–253. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1541-0420.2005.00440.x

80. Borcard D, Gillet F, Legendre P (2018) Numerical Ecology with R, 2nd ed. Springer International Publishing

81. Borcard D, Legendre P, Drapeau P (1992) Partialling out the spatial component of ecological variation. Ecology 73:1045–1055. https://doi.org/10.2307/1940179

82. Legendre P, Oksanen J, ter Braak CJF (2011) Testing the significance of canonical axes in redundancy analysis. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 2:269–277. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2041-210X.2010.00078.x

83. Peres-Neto PR, Legendre P, Dray S, Borcard D (2006) Variation partitioning of species data matrices: Estimation and comparison of fractions. Ecology 87:2614–2625. https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(2006)87[2614:VPOSDM]2.0.CO;2

84. R Core Team (2018) R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria

85. Wickham H, Francois R, Henry L, Müller K (2017) dplyr: A Grammar of Data Manipulation

86. Lenth R (2019) emmeans: Estimated Marginal Means, aka Least-Squares Means

87. Wickham H (2016) ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer-Verlag New York

88. Kassambara A (2018) ggpubr: "ggplot2" Based Publication Ready Plots

89. Pinheiro J, Bates D, DebRoy S, et al (2012) nlme: Linear and nonlinear mixed effects models. R package version 3:

90. McMurdie PJ, Holmes S (2013) phyloseq: An R Package for Reproducible Interactive Analysis and Graphics of Microbiome Census Data. PLOS ONE 8:e61217. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0061217

91. Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Friendly M, et al (2017) vegan: Community Ecology Package

92. O'Brien HE, Parrent JL, Jackson JA, et al (2005) Fungal community analysis by large-scale sequencing of environmental samples. Appl Environ Microbiol 71:5544–5550. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.71.9.5544-5550.2005

93. Voříšková J, Brabcová V, Cajthaml T, Baldrian P (2014) Seasonal dynamics of fungal communities in a temperate oak forest soil. New Phytol 201:269–278. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12481

94. Schlatter DC, Kahl K, Carlson B, et al (2018) Fungal community composition and diversity vary with soil depth and landscape position in a no-till wheat-based cropping system. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 94:. https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fiy098

95. Nagati M, Roy M, Manzi S, et al (2018) Impact of local forest composition on soil fungal communities in a mixed boreal forest. Plant Soil 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-018-3806-3

96. Jumpponen A, Jones KL, Blair J (2010) Vertical distribution of fungal communities in tallgrass prairie soil. Mycologia 102:1027–1041. https://doi.org/10.3852/09-316

743 97. Cheeke TE, Phillips RP, Brzostek ER, et al (2016) Dominant mycorrhizal association
744 of trees alters carbon and nutrient cycling by selecting for microbial groups with distinct
745 enzyme function. New Phytologist 214:. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14343

746 98. Bahnmann B, Mašínová T, Halvorsen R, et al (2018) Effects of oak, beech and spruce
747 on the distribution and community structure of fungi in litter and soils across a temperate forest.
748 Soil Biology and Biochemistry 119:162–173. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2018.01.021

749 99. Awad A, Majcherczyk A, Schall P, et al (2019) Ectomycorrhizal and saprotrophic soil
750 fungal biomass are driven by different factors and vary among broadleaf and coniferous
751 temperate forests. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 131:9–18.
752 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2018.12.014

753 100. Dickie IA, Boyer S, Buckley HL, et al (2018) Towards robust and repeatable sampling
754 methods in eDNA-based studies. Molecular Ecology Resources 18:940–952.
755 https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12907

756 101. McGuire KL, Zak DR, Edwards IP, et al (2010) Slowed decomposition is biotically
757 mediated in an ectomycorrhizal, tropical rain forest. Oecologia 164:785–795.
758 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-010-1686-1

759 102. Linde S van der, Suz LM, Orme CDL, et al (2018) Environment and host as large-scale
760 controls of ectomycorrhizal fungi. Nature 558:243. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0189-
761 9

762 103. Hart MM, Aleklett K, Chagnon P-L, et al (2015) Navigating the labyrinth: a guide to
763 sequence-based, community ecology of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. New Phytol 207:235–
764 247. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13340

765 104. McMurdie PJ, Holmes S (2014) Waste Not, Want Not: Why Rarefying Microbiome
766 Data Is Inadmissible. PLOS Computational Biology 10:e1003531.
767 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003531

768 105. Weiss S, Xu ZZ, Peddada S, et al (2017) Normalization and microbial differential
769 abundance strategies depend upon data characteristics. Microbiome 5:27.
770 https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-017-0237-y

771 106. Gao C, Montoya L, Xu L, et al (2019) Strong succession in arbuscular mycorrhizal
772 fungal communities. ISME J 13:214–226. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-018-0264-0

773 107. Krüger M, Stockinger H, Krüger C, Schüßler A (2009) DNA-based species level
774 detection of Glomeromycota: one PCR primer set for all arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. New
775 Phytologist 183:212–223. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2009.02835.x

776 108. Öpik M, Davison J, Moora M, Zobel M (2013) DNA-based detection and identification
777 of Glomeromycota: the virtual taxonomy of environmental sequences. Botany 92:135–147.
778 https://doi.org/10.1139/cjb-2013-0110

779 109. Kress WJ, Erickson DL (2007) A Two-Locus Global DNA Barcode for Land Plants:
780 The Coding rbcL Gene Complements the Non-Coding trnH-psbA Spacer Region. PLOS ONE
781 2:e508. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0000508

782

FIGURES



Fig. 1 Soil physico-chemical characteristics from organic-to-mineral horizons (L, F, H, Ae, B) in each mycorrhizal forest type (AM, arbuscular mycorrhizal; EcM, ectomycorrhizal; Mixed, mixture of AM and EcM): (a) pH (in $CaCl_2$), (b) effective cation exchange capacity, (c) base saturation, (d) organic carbon, (e) total nitrogen, (f) carbon over nitrogen ratio, (f) total phosphorus, (g) organic phosphorus and (h) labile (Bray) phosphorus. All data are means ± 1 SE (n = 5)



790

791 **Fig. 2** Soil profiles from organic-to-mineral horizons (L, F, H, Ae, B) on each mycorrhizal forest type
792 (AM, arbuscular mycorrhizal; EcM, ectomycorrhizal; Mixed, mixture of AM and EcM) showing
793 variations in: root colonized by (a) AM fungi, (b) EcM fungi, and abundances (on shifted log data) of
794 sequences belonging to (c) saprotrophic fungi, (d) AM fungi, (e) EcM fungi, (d) ericoid mycorrhizal
795 (ErM) fungi. Upper organic horizon (L) had no roots so colonization was set to zero. All data are
796 means ± 1 SE (n = 5, except n = 4 for the L horizon in EcM forest)



797

798 **Fig. 3** Ordination of the fungal community composition (Bray-Curtis dissimilarities) plotted in the

799 different forest types using a non-metric multidimensional scaling with two dimensions and a stress of

800 0.17. ** indicates difference in fungal community structure between arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) and

801 ectomycorrhizal (EcM) plots (*P*-value ≤ 0.01), N.S. indicates non-significant differences (see Table S2

802 for details)



803

804 **Fig. 4** Constrained ordination of the overall fungal community by soil chemistry variables using a

805 distance-based redundancy analysis with Bray-Curtis dissimilarities. Horizons are shown in different

806 shape and colors. The two first constrained axes explaining most variation are drawn. Adjusted-R^2 =

807 23.3 %, *P*-value = 0.001



808

809 **Fig. 5** Constrained ordination of the fungal community structure depending on the forest mycorrhizal

810 type (AM, arbuscular mycorrhizal; EcM, ectomycorrhizal; Mixed, mixture of AM and EcM) using a

811 distance-based redundancy analysis with Bray-Curtis dissimilarities. Forest type are shown in different

812 shape and colors. The two constrained axes are shown. Adjusted-R^2 = 2.7 %, *P*-value = 0.006



813

814 **Fig. 6** Venn diagram displaying the amount of variation (i.e. adjusted-R^2) of the fungal community
815 explained by horizon, soil chemistry and forest mycorrhizal type or a combination of them. Values
816 <0.1 % are not shown. Ellipses are not drawn to scale. Only variables with significant redundancy
817 analysis (RDA) results were tested for partial-RDA and included in this diagram. Overall adjusted-R^2 =
818 34.8 %, * indicates *P*-value < 0.05 and † indicates non-testable portion. For more details see Table S3

819 **Supplementary Material**



820

821 **Figure S1.** Map showing the 15 plots grouped in five blocks (different colors) at the University of
822 Montréal's field station (Québec, Montréal). The characteristics of each plots are listed in Table S1.

Figure S2. Picture of a soil core of approximately 25 cm deep, sampled with a rectangular auger, representing a typical profile in the studied sites. The five horizons can easily be distinguished with entire leaves at the top (L), then partially decomposed materials (F) and black humus (H), followed by grey Ae and brown B.



828

829 **Figure S3.** Boxplots illustrating differences in the number of amplicon sequence variant (ASV) by
830 horizon (L to B, from left to right). Singletons and doubletons were excluded. Bold horizontal lines
831 represent median values; box margins 25th and 75th percentile; vertical lines represent largest and lowest
832 value within 1.5 times interquartile range above 75th and below 25th percentile respectively; dots
833 represent outliers that fall outside of that range.



Figure S4. Soil profiles from organic-to-mineral horizons (L, F, H, Ae, B) on each mycorrhizal forest types (AM, arbuscular mycorrhizal; EcM, ectomycorrhizal; Mixed, mixture of AM and EcM) showing variations in richness of (a) EcM fungi and (b) saprotrophic fungi. All data are means ± 1 SE (n = 5, except n = 4 for the L horizon in EcM forest). Note: Due to low value, richness of AM and ErM guilds were not modeled.



840

841 **Figure S5.** Ordination of the fungal community composition (Sørensen distances) of the different forest
842 type on two axes using a non-metric multidimensional scaling with two dimensions and a stress of 0.18.
843 To visually assess the impact of Sørensen distance, the scale is kept identical to the one of Fig. 1.

844 **Table S1.** Characteristics of each plot under study. Plots in the same block were selected to have
845 homogenous environmental conditions.

Plot ID	Block	Altitude (m)	Slope (%)	Aspect (°)	Total basal area (m^2 ha^{-1})	EcM tree basal area (% of total)	AM tree basal area (% of total)	Dominant canopy species	Mycorrhizal dominance
AS_01	1	403	10	95	23.2	7.5	92.5	AS	AM
FG_01	1	381	20	103	37.4	81.6	18.4	FG	EcM
Mix_01	1	383	18	160	36.1	53.4	46.6	AS and FG	Mixed
AS_02	2	398	13	140	30.9	8.4	91.6	AS	AM
FG_02	2	391	9	105	40.4	95.8	4.2	FG	EcM
Mix_02	2	381	10	110	41.9	57.4	42.6	AS and FG	Mixed
AS_03	3	374	9	140	33.3	8.4	91.6	AS	AM
FG_03	3	388	0	0	29.9	79.7	20.3	FG	EcM
Mix_03	3	396	0	0	37.0	43.9	56.1	AS and FG	Mixed
AS_04	4	376	16	220	38.9	8.3	91.7	AS	AM
FG_04	4	395	14	120	39.1	62.8	37.2	FG	EcM
Mix_04	4	375	18	180	27.8	55.5	44.5	AS and FG	Mixed
AS_05	5	366	15	140	40.2	6.4	93.6	AS	AM
FG_05	5	365	9	150	36.3	89.7	10.3	FG	EcM
Mix_05	5	366	20	190	30.8	56.1	43.9	AS and FG	Mixed

846 Acronyms: AS = *Acer saccharum*, FG = *Fagus grandifolia*, AM = arbuscular mycorrhiza, EcM =
847 ectomycorrhiza.

Table S2. Multivariate analyses of differences in structure (Bray-Curtis dissimilarities) and composition (Sørensen distances) among different types of fungal communities. Analyzed using permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA). *P*-values were determined using 9999 permutations. * $P \leq 0.05$; ** $P \leq 0.01$, *** $P \leq 0.001$. Df stands for degree of freedom.

Fungal Community	Dissimilarity measure	*P*-Value	Df	Fungal Community	*P*-Value
Among forest types	Structure	**0.00014*** **	2	AM vs Mixed	0.0935
				AM vs EcM	**0.0039** **
				Mixed vs EcM	0.0935
	Composition	**0.00074*** **	2	AM vs Mixed	0.118
				AM vs EcM	**0.026***
				Mixed vs EcM	0.089
Among horizons	Structure	**0.00001*** **	4	L vs F	**0.00001*** **
				F vs H	**0.00001*** **
				H vs Ae	**0.0023** **
				Ae vs B	**0.00007*** **
	Composition	**0.00001*** **	4	L vs F	**0.00001*** **
				F vs H	**0.0001*** **
				H vs Ae	**0.0118***
				Ae vs B	**0.0022** **
Forest × Horizon	Structure	0.11718	8	-	-
	Composition	0.15424	8	-	-

Note: Only PERMANOVA results with *P*-Value ≤ 0.05 were considered for multiple comparisons. L vs H, L vs Ae, L vs B, F vs Ae, F vs B, H vs B not included but *P*-values < 0.0001.

Table S3. Partition of variation of the fungal community due to soil chemistry (Chemistry), experimental blocking design (Block), soil layers (Horizon) and forest mycorrhizal type (Forest) using distance-based redundancy analysis (RDA) and partial-RDA analyses. *P*-values were determined using 999 permutations. ** *P*-values ≤ 0.01; *** *P*-values ≤ 0.001. In the formula Y is the fungal community matrix, X is the explained matrix and Z is the conditional matrix which is partialed out. Only significant RDA results were tested for partial-RDA.

Model	Formula (Y~X | Z)	adjusted-R^2 (%)	*P*-value
Overall RDA	Y~ Chemistry + Block + Horizon + Forest	34.8	**0.001*****
Single RDA	Y ~ Chemistry	23.3	**0.001*****
	Y~ Block	0.3	0.372
	Y~ Horizon	27.8	**0.001*****
	Y~ Forest	2.7	**0.006****
partial-RDA	Y~ Chemistry | Block + Horizon + Forest	1.1	**0.036***
	Y~ Horizon | Chemistry + Block + Forest	6.4	**0.001*****
	Y~ Forest | Chemistry + Block + Horizon	3.3	**0.001*****